

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/531,785 | Applicant(s) MUCK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Sai-Ming Chan | Art Unit 2616 | |

All Participants:

(1) Sai-Ming Chan.

(2) Brian Mancini.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:15 a.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner and Mr. Brian Mancini discussed several informalities in claim 1. It was agreed to correct the informalities in the claim via an applicant's Supplemental Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)